## Plan Overview

A Data Management Plan created using DMPTool-Stage

Title: Impact of Forensic Evidence on the Criminal Justice Process

Creator: Tawny Rogers

**Affiliation:** Non Partner Institution

Principal Investigator: Tawny Rogers

Data Manager: Tawny Rogers

Funder: National Science Foundation (nsf.gov)

Funding opportunity number: 30204

Template: NSF-SBE: Social, Behavioral, Economic Sciences

Last modified: 11-26-2017

## Copyright information:

The above plan creator(s) have agreed that others may use as much of the text of this plan as they would like in their own plans, and customize it as necessary. You do not need to credit the creator(s) as the source of the language used, but using any of the plan's text does not imply that the creator(s) endorse, or have any relationship to, your project or proposal

## Impact of Forensic Evidence on the Criminal Justice Process

The primary person responsible for the management of this data will be the principle investigator. The principle investigator is responsible for the monitoring the data, organizating the data, and producing reports concerning the data. The principle investigator will also be responsible for the interpretation and communication of information garnered from the data.

Data was collected from police incident and investigative reports, crime lab reports, and prosecutor case files.

Peer reviewers and an instructor will take the time to go over the data and determine any discrepancies and imperative changes.

No plan in place for if the principle investigator can no longer persue their work.

The data used will be from police incident and investigation reports, crime lab reports, and prosecutor case files from criminal cases in five jurisdictions (Los Angeles County, California; Indianapolis, Indiana; Evansville, Indiana; Fort Wayne, Indiana; and South Bend, Indiana). The data contain a total of 25 variables including information relevant to the victim, suspect information, case file information, and forensic variables.

Data was downloaded from ICPSR. Prior to being uploaded to ICPSR, data was collected from official record data for the aforementioned jurisdictions. From that data, the principle investigator identified 25 out of 175 varaibles.

The 25 variables relate to victim information, suspect information, case file information, and foresnic information. The variables used are used to determine how demographics of the victim and suspect are related to how a case is sentenced or whether it is charged. Foresnic cariables are brought in to determine if forensics had any effect on case outcomes.

## Question not answered.

The file formats used for this data will include an excel file with the variables, an access file for relating tables, and visualizations of the statistics of the variables.

After the creation of the tables in access, the information will be made available. The code book is an imperative aspect of the data, as it details the methodology and makes sure to ensure that ethical standards are followed and privacy is maintained. Victims are identified by victim ids that are matched with case ids. No identifying information is included.

Information will be stored in the principle investigator's drive. However, information will be shared with the professor and peer reviewers. Data is organized per case ID information. Each case ID is represented in the case information file and then connected to the victim information, suspect information, and forensics information.

Name of the data will be determined upon the onset of research. It will be imperative to create naming conventions for the data that is collected in order to best search and organized the data.

The responsibilities of the principle investigator indicate that this will be one of the primary aspects of their job. They will be responsible for such updates and collection and dissemination of information directly related to the data.